

# Effects of Neighborhood Density and Phonotactic Probability on Word Learning

Holly L. Storkel

Jonna Armbruster & Tiffany Hogan

University of Kansas

NIDCD 04781, 06545, 00052, 06749

# Form Representation

- Sublexical representations
  - Individual sounds
  - e.g., /b/, /i/, /d/
  
- Lexical representations
  - Whole word form
  - e.g., /bid/

# Sublexical Representations

- Influenced by phonotactic probability
  - Frequency of occurrence of individual sounds (i.e., positional segment frequency)
  - Frequency of co-occurrence of pairs of sounds (i.e., biphone frequency)
  - High probability advantage in recognition and production

# Lexical Representations

- Influenced by neighborhood density
  - Number of similar sounding words
  - High density disadvantage in recognition
  - High density advantage in production and serial recall

# Word Learning in Children

- Examined correlated phonotactic probability and neighborhood density
- High probability/high density advantage
- Attributable to sublexical or lexical influences on word learning?

**Do both sublexical and  
lexical representations  
influence word learning?**

# Study 1: Adult Word Learning

- 32 monolingual English-speaking adults
- 16 nonwords varying in phonotactic probability and neighborhood density
- Paired with unusual objects
- Repeated exposure-test paradigm

# Results

- Main effect of phonotactic probability
  - High probability disadvantage
- Main effect of neighborhood density
  - High density advantage
- No significant interactions



# Study 2: Toddler Word Learning

- Database of words known by 16- to 30-month-olds
- 680 word checklist
- Parent indicates which words child produces
- Norms from 1,800 children

# Stimuli

- Restrict to nouns
- Sublexical measures:
  - Positional segment frequency
  - Biphone frequency
- Lexical measures:
  - Neighborhood density
  - Word length
  - Word frequency
  - Neighborhood frequency

# Stimuli

- Semantic measures
- Nelson, McEvoy, & Schreiber (1998) discrete association norms
  - *Semantic set size*: number of neighbors
  - *Connectivity*: number of connections among neighbors
  - *Probability resonance*: number of bidirectional connections
  - *Resonance strength*: strength of the bidirectional connections

# Factor Analysis

- Four factors:
  - Sublexical: positional segment & biphone frequency
  - Lexical: neighborhood density & word length
  - Semantic 1: set size & connectivity
  - Semantic 2: probability resonance & resonance strength

# Regression Results

- All factors significant predictors
  - Sublexical: High probability disadvantage
  - Lexical: High density/short word advantage
  - Semantic 1: High set size/connectivity advantage
  - Semantic 2: High resonance/strength advantage

# Regression Results

## ■ Interactions

- Sublexical x Age: No interaction
- Lexical x Age: Lexical effect decreases as age increases
- Semantic 1 x Age: Semantic effect increases as age increases
- Semantic 2 x Age: Semantic effect increases as age increases

# Sublexical Effects on Word Learning

- High probability disadvantage constant across age
- Sublexical representations may aid in triggering word learning
- Low probability = unique

# Lexical Effects on Word Learning

- High density advantage that diminishes across age
- Existing lexical representations may stabilize new representations
- Change in emphasis on form vs. meaning with development?
- Influence of amount of similarity?



# Semantic Effects in Word Learning

- High set size advantage that increases across age
- Existing semantic representations may stabilize new representations
- Change in emphasis on form vs. meaning with development?
- Influence of amount of similarity?

# Word Learning Conclusions

- Role of sublexical representations is unique
- Influence of lexical and semantic representations is similar
- Developmental changes in lexical and semantic influences warrant further investigation

# Acknowledgements

- NIDCD 04781, 06545, 00052, 06749
- Contributors: Wade Burtchet, Jill Hoover, Kristen Linnemeyer, Andrea Perdue, David Slegers, Maki Sueto, Michael Vitevitch, Junko Young
- Contact information:  
Holly L. Storkel  
[hstorkel@ku.edu](mailto:hstorkel@ku.edu)  
[www.ku.edu/~wrdlrng](http://www.ku.edu/~wrdlrng)