


Interactions between Phonotactic Constraints and Probabilities in Word Learning

Holly L. Storkel, Ph.D.

Department of Speech-Language-Hearing - University of Kansas



Introduction

- Word learning by typically developing children is influenced by phonological characteristics of the words to be learned
- **Phonotactic Constraints:** Rules defining which sounds and sequences are legal in the child's developing system
 - In (e.g., /m/) vs. Out (e.g., /r/) sounds
 - In facilitates word learning in very young children (Leonard, Schwartz, Morris, & Chapman, 1981; Schwartz & Leonard, 1982)
- **Phonotactic Probability:** Likelihood of occurrence of sound sequences
 - Common (e.g., 'coat') vs. Rare (e.g., 'watch')
 - Common facilitates word learning in preschool and school-age children (Storkel, 2001, in press; Storkel & Rogers, 2000)

Experimental Questions

- Do phonotactic constraints continue to influence word learning in older children?
- Do phonotactic constraints interact with phonotactic probability?
- Are similar effects observed in children with normal versus delayed phonological development?

Method

- Participants: 3 Groups of preschool children

	Phonological Delay	Normal Comparison: Out	Normal Comparison: In
Number	17	24	22
Target In Sounds	Correctly Articulate "In"	Correctly Articulate "In"	Correctly Articulate "In"
Target Out Sounds	Misarticulate "Out"	Misarticulate "Out"	Correctly Articulate "In"
GFTA Raw	<u>M</u> = 31	<u>M</u> = 23	<u>M</u> = 4
Age	<u>M</u> = 5;0	<u>M</u> = 3;10	<u>M</u> = 4;10
PPVT Raw	<u>M</u> = 71	<u>M</u> = 56	<u>M</u> = 78
EVT Raw	<u>M</u> = 53	<u>M</u> = 44	<u>M</u> = 57


Method cont.

- Stimuli: 16 CVC nonsense words paired with unfamiliar objects

	Form		Referent			
	Common	Rare	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4
Out	rouf					
	θum					
In	mæb					
	gɔɪt					

- Form-referent pairs were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 stories
 - Phonotactic Constraints x Phonotactic Probability conditions were balanced across stories.


- Procedure




- Test = Picture naming
 - Correct = 2 of 3 phonemes correct
 - Incorrect = all other productions

Results


- Out PD Group: Significant interaction of Phonotactic Constraint x Phonotactic Probability x Exposure



- Out NC Group: Significant interaction of Phonotactic Constraint x Phonotactic Probability



- In NC Group: No significant effects



Conclusion

- Phonotactic constraints continued to influence word learning in preschool children, but not in the same way as in younger children
 - Infant/Toddler: In > Out
 - Preschool: Out > In
- Phonotactic constraints interacted with phonotactic probability
 - In: Decreased effect of phonotactic probability
 - Out: Increased effect of phonotactic probability
- Effect of phonotactic probability varied by group
 - PD: Rare > Common
 - CN: Common > Rare
 - Children with delayed phonological development may rely on **lexical** representations to learn new words
 - Children with normal phonological development may rely on **phonological** representations to learn new words

Acknowledgements

- DC04781; DC01694; DC00012; ASHA Foundation
- Project contributors: Aaron Brown, Wade Burtchet, Rebecca DeLong, Judith Gierut, Dana Lazar, Michele Morrisette, Kelly Selders, Kelli Stanfield, Maki Sueto, Mariam Syeda, Michael Vitevitch, Junko Young

References

- Leonard, L. B., Schwartz, R. G., Morris, B., & Chapman, K. (1981). Factors influencing early lexical acquisition: lexical orientation and phonological composition. *Child Development*, 52, 882-887.
- Schwartz, R. G., & Leonard, L. B. (1982). Do children pick and choose? An examination of phonological selection and avoidance in early lexical acquisition. *Journal of Child Language*, 9, 319-336.
- Storkel, H. L. (2001). Learning new words: Phonotactic probability in language development. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 44, 1321-1337.
- Storkel, H. L. (in press). Learning new words II: Phonotactic probability in verb learning. *Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research*.
- Storkel, H. L., & Rogers, M. A. (2000). The effect of probabilistic phonotactics on lexical acquisition. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 14, 407-425.